Rare semileptonic $B \to K(\pi) l_i^- l_j^+$ decay in vector leptoquark model

Murugeswaran Duraisamy

Department of Physics, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, VA 23284, USA

Suchismita Sahoo and Rukmani Mohanta

School of Physics, University of Hyderabad, Hyderabad - 500046, India

arXiv:1610.00902v1 [hep-ph] 4 Oct 2016

Abstract

We investigate the consequence of vector leptoquarks on the rare semileptonic lepton flavour violating decays of B meson which are more promising and effective channels to probe the new physics signal. We constrain the resulting new leptoquark parameter space by using the branching fractions of $B_{s,d} \to l^+ l^-$ processes from LHCb and CMS experiments. We estimate the branching ratios of rare lepton flavour violating $B \to K(\pi) l_i^- l_j^+$ processes using the constrained leptoquark couplings. We also compute the forward-backward asymmetries and the lepton non-universality parameters of the LFV decays in the vector leptoquark model.

PACS numbers: 13.20.He, 14.80.Sv

I. INTRODUCTION

The discovery of Higgs boson at LHC completes the standard model (SM) picture of particle interactions, which is quite successful in describing all the observed experimental data so far below the electroweak scale. Still we need physics beyond it in order to solve the hierarchy and flavour problems. In this context the study of rare B decay modes involving the flavour changing neutral current (FCNC) transitions, $b \to s/d$, are more captivating. The FCNC processes are highly suppressed in the SM and occur via one-loop level only. It should be noted that the current measured data by LHCb collaboration on angular observables in rare B decays show significant deviation from the SM predictions. Especially, the discrepancy of 3σ in the famous P_5' angular observable [1, 2] and the decay rate [3] of rare $B \to K^*\mu^+\mu^-$ processes have become a tension in recent times. In addition the ratio $R_K = \text{Br}(B \to K\mu^+\mu^-)/\text{Br}(B \to Ke^+e^-)$, cancelling the hadronic uncertainties to a very large extent, has also 2.6σ deviation from the SM prediction [4, 5], thus indicates the violation of the lepton flavour universality (LFU). The decay rate of $B_s \to \phi\mu^+\mu^-$ process is also low (3σ deviation) compared to its SM value [6].

Within the SM of electroweak interactions, the generation lepton number is exactly conserved, since the neutrinos are deemed as massless particles. Nonetheless, the observation of neutrino oscillation has provided unambiguous evidence for lepton number violation in the neutral sector. The observation of lepton non-universality by the LHCb collaboration generically implies the existence of lepton flavour violating (LFV) decay processes. Since the observed data on lepton non-universality is due to 25% deficit in the muon channel, thus LFV is more for muonic processes than for electronic processes [7]. The branching ratio of $h \to \tau\mu$ LFV decay is found to be $\text{Br}(h \to \tau\mu) = 0.84^{+0.39}_{-0.37}$ by CMS collaboration [8], which has a 2.6σ deviation from the SM value, thus boosted the interest of physicists to study more LFV decay processes in charged sector such as $l_i \to l_j\gamma$, $l_i \to l_j l_k \bar{l}_k$, $B_s \to l_i^\pm l_j^\mp$ and $B \to K^{(*)} l_i^\pm l_j^\mp$ etc. Theoretically, the LFV processes are free from the non-perturbative hadronic effects and significantly contribute some additional operators in comparison with the lepton flavour conserving (LFC) processes. In the literature, there are many attempts to analyze the LFV decays in the B-sector in terms of various beyond the standard model scenarios [9–12]. Even though there is no direct experimental measurement on such LFV processes, but there exist upper bounds on some of these decays [13]. The observation of

lepton flavour violating decays in the upcoming and/or future experiments would provide evidence of new physics beyond the SM.

To settle the observed anomalies at LHCb using a specific theoretical framework, we extend the SM by adding a single vector leptoquark (LQ), which is a color triplet boson and arises naturally from the unification of quarks and leptons. LQs carry both baryon and lepton numbers and can be characterised by their fermion no., spin and charge. Since 1980's LQs had been enthusiastically searched for, yet without any positive results, though LQs could be produced directly at the colliders. The existence of LQ can be found in many new physics (NP) models, such as the grand unified theories [14, 15], Pati-Salam model, quark and lepton composite model [16] and the technicolor model [17]. The lepton and baryon number violating LQs are very heavy to avoid proton decay bounds. Nevertheless, the LQs having the baryon and lepton number conserving couplings do not allow proton decay and could be light enough to be seen in the current experiments. The interaction of LQ with the SM fermions could be due to a scalar LQ doublet with representation $(3, 2, 7/6)$ and $(3, 2, 1/6)$ or a vector LQ triplet $V_\mu^3(3, 3, 2/3)$, singlet $V_\mu^1(3, 1, 2/3)$ or doublet $V_\mu^2(\bar{3}, 2, 5/6)$ under the SM $SU(3)_C \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ gauge group. In this work, we consider the vector LQ model which can produce both scalar and pseudoscalar operators in addition to the vector currents. We assume that the LQs conserve B and L quantum numbers and do not induce proton decay. We investigate the LFV $B \to K(\pi) l_i^- l_j^+$ processes in the context of vector LQ model. Even though the LFV processes occur at loop level with the presence of massless neutrinos in one of the loop or proceed via box diagrams, these can occur at tree level in the LQ model and are expected to have significantly large branching ratios. We compute the branching ratios and forward-backward asymmetries in these LFV processes. In addition, we also check the existence of lepton non-universality in the LQ model. The complete LQ phenomenology and the additional new physics contribution to the B-sector has been investigated in the literature [10, 11, 18–23].

The paper is organized as follows. In section II, we present the effective Hamiltonian describing the $b \to q l_i^- l_j^+$ transitions, where $q = s, d$. The angular distribution and the decay parameters of the semileptonic lepton flavour violating decays are described in section III. In section IV, we discuss the new physics contribution due to the exchange of vector LQ and the constraints on LQ couplings are computed in section V. The branching ratios, forward-backward asymmetries and the lepton non-universality of $B \to K(\pi) l_i^- l_j^+$ LFV

decays are computed in section VI and the conclusions are summarized in section VII.

II. EFFECTIVE HAMILTONIAN FOR $b \to q l_i^- l_j^+$ PROCESSES

In this section we discuss the effective Hamiltonian describing the FCNC $b \to q(= d, s) l_i^- l_j^+$ transitions. Here we will focus mainly on the $b \to s l_i^- l_j^+$ Hamiltonian as the $b \to d l_i^- l_j^+$ Hamiltonian can be obtained from it with the obvious replacements. The effective Hamiltonian for the quark-level transition $b \to s l_i^- l_j^+$ $(l = e, \mu, \tau)$ in the SM is mainly given by [24]

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}}^{\text{SM}} &= -\frac{4G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{ts}^* V_{tb} \Big[\sum_{i=1}^{6} C_i(\mu)\, \mathcal{O}_i(\mu) + C_7^{\text{SM}} \frac{e}{16\pi^2} \left[\bar{s}\sigma_{\mu\nu}\left(m_s P_L + m_b P_R\right) b\right] F^{\mu\nu} \\ &\quad + C_V^{\text{SM}} \frac{\alpha_{em}}{4\pi} \left(\bar{s}\gamma^\mu P_L b\right) L_{ij}^{\mu} + C_A^{\text{SM}} \frac{\alpha_{em}}{4\pi} \left(\bar{s}\gamma^\mu P_L b\right) L_{ij}^{5\mu} \Big], \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where $L_{ij}^{\mu} = \bar{l}_i \gamma_\mu l_j$ and $L_{ij}^{5\mu} = \bar{l}_i \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 l_j$. Here G_F denotes the Fermi constant, $V_{qq'}$ are the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskaw (CKM) matrix elements, α_{em} is the fine structure constant and $P_{L,R} = (1 \mp \gamma_5)/2$ are the chirality projection operators. The operators $\mathcal{O}_i\,(i = 1, ..., 6)$ correspond to the tree level current-current operators $(O_{1,2})$, QCD penguin operators (O_{3-6}) and C_i's are the Wilson coefficients. For $i = j$, $C_{7,V,A}^{\text{SM}}$ represent the SM Wilson coefficients $C_{7,9,10}$ and for $i \neq j$ they will vanish.

The total effective Hamiltonian for processes involving $b \to s l_i^- l_j^+$ transition, in the presence of new physics operators with all the possible Lorentz structure, can be expressed as

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}}\left(b \to s l_i^- l_j^+\right) = \mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}}^{\text{SM}} + \mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}}^{\text{VA}} + \mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}}^{\text{SP}} + \mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}}^{T}, \tag{2}$$

where $\mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}}^{\text{SM}}$ is the SM effective Hamiltonian as given in Eqn. (1), and the NP contributions are given as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}}^{\text{VA}} &= -N_F \Big[C_V \left(\bar{s}\gamma^\mu P_L b\right) L_{ij}^{\mu} + C_A \left(\bar{s}\gamma^\mu P_L b\right) L_{ij}^{5\mu} + C_V' \left(\bar{s}\gamma^\mu P_R b\right) L_{ij}^{\mu} \\ &\quad + C_A' \left(\bar{s}\gamma^\mu P_R b\right) L_{ij}^{5\mu} \Big], \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}}^{\text{SP}} = -N_F \Big[C_S \left(\bar{s} P_R b\right) L_{ij} + C_P \left(\bar{s} P_R b\right) L_{ij}^{5} + C_S' \left(\bar{s} P_L b\right) L_{ij} + C_P' \left(\bar{s} P_L b\right) L_{ij}^{5} \Big], \tag{4}$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}}^{T} = -N_F \Big[2C_T \left(\bar{s}\sigma_{\mu\nu} b\right) L_{ij}^{\mu\nu} + i2C_{T5} \left(\bar{s}\sigma_{\mu\nu} b\right) L_{ij}^{\mu\nu 5} \Big], \tag{5}$$

where $N_F = \frac{G_F \alpha_{em}}{\sqrt{2}\pi} V_{tb} V_{ts}^*$, $L_{ij}^{5} = \bar{l}_i \gamma_5 l_j$, and $L_{ij}^{\mu\nu 5} = 2i\bar{l}_i \sigma^{\mu\nu}\gamma_5 l_j$. Here we use $\sigma^{\mu\nu}\gamma_5 = -\frac{i}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\sigma_{\alpha\beta}$ to calculate $L_{ij}^{\mu\nu 5}$. In the above expressions $C_i^{(\prime)}$, where $i = V, A, S, P$, and $C_{T(5)}$

are the NP effective couplings which are negligible in the SM and can only be generated using new physics beyond the SM

III. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK FOR $\bar{B} \to \bar{K}(\pi) l_i l_j$ DECAY PROCESSES

The semileptonic $\bar{B} \to \bar{K} l_i l_j$ decay involves the quark level $b \to s l_i^- l_j^+$ transitions as mediated by the effective Hamiltonian of the form in Eqn.(2). The relevant kinematical variables describing this three-body decay are the invariant mass squared of the lepton pair $q^2 = (P_B - P_K)^2$, and the polar angle θ_l. Here P_B and P_K are the four-momenta of the B meson and K meson respectively and θ_l is the angle between the K and lepton l_i in the $l_i - l_j$ rest frame. The polar angle differential decay distribution in the momentum transfer squared q^2 for the process $\bar{B} \to \bar{K} l_i l_j$ can be written in the form

$$\frac{d^2\Gamma}{dq^2 d\cos\theta_l} = \frac{G_F^2 \alpha_{em}^2 \beta_{ij} \sqrt{\lambda} |V_{tb} V_{ts}^*|^2}{2^{12} \pi^5 M_B^3} \sum_{i=1}^{12} I_i \left(\cos\theta_l\right), \tag{6}$$

where $\beta_{ij} = \sqrt{\left(1 - \frac{(m_i+m_j)^2}{q^2}\right)\left(1 - \frac{(m_i-m_j)^2}{q^2}\right)}$ and the kinematical factor $\lambda = M_B^4 + M_K^4 + q^4 - 2\left(M_B^2 M_K^2 + M_K^2 q^2 + M_B^2 q^2\right)$. The twelve angular coefficients $I_i(\cos\theta_l)$ appearing in the angular distribution depend on the couplings, kinematic variables, form factors and the polar angle θ_l, which are defined as

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 &= 2\Bigg[\left(1 - \frac{(m_i-m_j)^2}{q^2}\right)\left(q^2 - \left(q^2 - (m_i+m_j)^2\right)\cos^2\theta_l\right)|H_V^0|^2 \\
&\quad + 4k\frac{(m_i^2 - m_j^2)}{\sqrt{q^2}}\mathrm{Re}\left[H_V^0 H_V^{t*}\right]\cos\theta_l + \frac{(m_i-m_j)^2}{q^2}\left(q^2 - (m_i+m_j)^2\right)|H_V^t|^2\Bigg], \qquad (7) \\
I_2 &= 2\Bigg[\left(1 - \frac{(m_i+m_j)^2}{q^2}\right)\left(q^2 - \left(q^2 - (m_i-m_j)^2\right)\cos^2\theta_l\right)|H_A^0|^2 \\
&\quad + 4k\frac{(m_i^2 - m_j^2)}{\sqrt{q^2}}\mathrm{Re}\left[H_A^0 H_A^{t*}\right]\cos\theta_l + \frac{(m_i+m_j)^2}{q^2}\left(q^2 - (m_i-m_j)^2\right)|H_A^t|^2\Bigg], \qquad (8) \\
I_3 &= 2\left(q^2 - (m_i+m_j)^2\right)|H_S|^2, \qquad (9) \\
I_4 &= 2\left(q^2 - (m_i-m_j)^2\right)|H_P|^2, \qquad (10) \\
I_5 &= 8\left(1 - \frac{(m_i-m_j)^2}{q^2}\right)\left((m_i+m_j)^2 + \left(q^2 - (m_i+m_j)^2\right)\cos^2\theta_l\right)|H_T^{0t}|^2, \qquad (11) \\
I_6 &= 32\left(1 - \frac{(m_i+m_j)^2}{q^2}\right)\left((m_i-m_j)^2 + \left(q^2 - (m_i-m_j)^2\right)\cos^2\theta_l\right)|H_{TE}^{0t}|^2, \qquad (12)
\end{aligned}$$

$$I_7 = 4\mathrm{Re}\Big[2k\,(m_i+m_j)\,H_V^0 H_S^*\cos\theta_l + \frac{(m_i-m_j)}{\sqrt{q^2}}\left(q^2-(m_i+m_j)^2\right)H_V^t H_S^*\Big], \quad (13)$$

$$I_8 = 4\mathrm{Re}\Big[2k\,(m_i-m_j)\,H_A^0 H_P^*\cos\theta_l + \frac{(m_i+m_j)}{\sqrt{q^2}}\left(q^2-(m_i-m_j)^2\right)H_A^t H_P^*\Big], \quad (14)$$

$$I_9 = -8\mathrm{Re}\Big[2k\,(m_i-m_j)\,H_V^t H_T^{0t^*}\cos\theta_l + \frac{(m_i+m_j)}{\sqrt{q^2}}\left(q^2-(m_i-m_j)^2\right)H_V^0 H_T^{0t^*}\Big], \quad (15)$$

$$I_{10} = 16\mathrm{Re}\Big[2k\,(m_i+m_j)\,H_A^t H_{TE}^{0t^*}\cos\theta_l + \frac{(m_i-m_j)}{\sqrt{q^2}}\left(q^2-(m_i+m_j)^2\right)H_0^t H_{TE}^{0t^*}\Big], \quad (16)$$

$$I_{11} = -16k\sqrt{q^2}\mathrm{Re}[H_S H_T^{0t^*}]\cos\theta_l, \quad (17)$$

$$I_{12} = 32k\sqrt{q^2}\mathrm{Re}[H_P H_{TE}^{0t^*}]\cos\theta_l. \quad (18)$$

Here $k=(\beta_{ij}\sqrt{q^2})/2$ is the lepton momentum and the expressions for the helicity amplitudes are given as

$$H_V^0 = \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{q^2}}\Big[\left(C_V^{SM}+C_V+C_V'\right)f_+(q^2)+2C_7^{SM}m_b\frac{f_T}{M_B+M_K}\Big], \quad (19)$$

$$H_V^t = \frac{M_B^2-M_K^2}{\sqrt{q^2}}\left(C_V^{SM}+C_V+C_V'\right)f_0(q^2), \quad (20)$$

$$H_A^0 = \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{q^2}}\left(C_A^{SM}+C_A+C_A'\right)f_+(q^2), \quad (21)$$

$$H_A^t = \frac{M_B^2-M_K^2}{\sqrt{q^2}}\left(C_A^{SM}+C_A+C_A'\right)f_0(q^2), \quad (22)$$

$$H_S = \frac{M_B^2-M_K^2}{m_b}\left(C_S+C_S'\right)f_0\left(q^2\right), \quad (23)$$

$$H_P = \frac{M_B^2-M_K^2}{m_b}\left(C_P+C_P'\right)f_0\left(q^2\right), \quad (24)$$

$$H_T^{0t} = -2C_T\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{M_B+M_K}f_T(q^2), \quad (25)$$

$$H_{T5}^{0t} = -2C_{T5}\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{M_B+M_K}f_T(q^2). \quad (26)$$

The above expressions are calculated by using the parametrizations of matrix elements of the various hadronic currents between the initial B meson and the final K meson, in terms of the form factors f_0, f_+ and f_T as [5]

$$\langle\bar{K}\left(P_K\right)|\bar{s}\gamma^\mu b|\bar{B}\left(P_B\right)\rangle = f_+\left(q^2\right)\left(P_B+P_K\right)^\mu + \left[f_0\left(q^2\right)-f_+\left(q^2\right)\right]\frac{M_B^2-M_K^2}{q^2}q^\mu, \quad (27)$$

$$\langle\bar{K}\left(P_K\right)|\bar{s}\sigma^{\mu\nu}b|\bar{B}\left(P_B\right)\rangle = i\frac{f_T\left(q^2\right)}{M_B+M_K}\left[\left(P_B+P_K\right)^\mu q^\nu - q^\mu\left(P_B+P_K\right)^\nu\right]. \quad (28)$$

It should be noted that in general the angular coefficients of semileptonic decays take the form

$$I_i\left(\cos\theta_l\right) = a_i + b_i\cos\theta_l + c_i\cos^2\theta_l. \tag{29}$$

The differential decay rate for the decay $\bar{B} \to \bar{K} l_i l_j$ can be found by integrating over the polar angle in Eqn. (6) to get

$$\frac{d\Gamma}{dq^2} = \frac{G_F^2\alpha_{em}^2\beta_{ij}\sqrt{\lambda}|V_{tb}V_{ts}^*|^2}{2^{12}\pi^5 M_B^3}\sum_{i=1}^{10} J_i, \tag{30}$$

where the coefficients $J_i = \int_{-1}^{1} I_i\left(\cos\theta_l\right) d\cos\theta_l$ are given below as

$$\begin{aligned}
J_1 &= 4\Bigg[\left(1-\frac{(m_i-m_j)^2}{q^2}\right)\frac{1}{3}\left(2q^2+(m_i+m_j)^2\right)|H_V^0|^2 \\
&\quad +\frac{(m_i-m_j)^2}{q^2}\left(q^2-(m_i+m_j)^2\right)|H_V^t|^2\Bigg], \qquad (31)\\
J_2 &= 4\Bigg[\left(1-\frac{(m_i+m_j)^2}{q^2}\right)\frac{1}{3}\left(2q^2+(m_i-m_j)^2\right)|H_A^0|^2 \\
&\quad +\frac{(m_i+m_j)^2}{q^2}\left(q^2-(m_i-m_j)^2\right)|H_A^t|^2\Bigg], \qquad (32)\\
J_3 &= 4\left(q^2-(m_i+m_j)^2\right)|H_S|^2, \qquad (33)\\
J_4 &= 4\left(q^2-(m_i-m_j)^2\right)|H_P|^2, \qquad (34)\\
J_5 &= 16\left(1-\frac{(m_i-m_j)^2}{q^2}\right)\frac{1}{3}\Big(2\left(m_i+m_j\right)^2+q^2\Big)|H_T^{0t}|^2, \qquad (35)\\
J_6 &= 64\left(1-\frac{(m_i+m_j)^2}{q^2}\right)\frac{1}{3}\Big(2\left(m_i-m_j\right)^2+q^2\Big)|H_{TE}^{0t}|^2, \qquad (36)\\
J_7 &= 8\frac{(m_i-m_j)}{\sqrt{q^2}}\left(q^2-(m_i+m_j)^2\right)\mathrm{Re}[H_V^t H_S^*], \qquad (37)\\
J_8 &= 8\frac{(m_i+m_j)}{\sqrt{q^2}}\left(q^2-(m_i-m_j)^2\right)\mathrm{Re}[H_A^t H_P^*], \qquad (38)\\
J_9 &= -16\frac{(m_i+m_j)}{\sqrt{q^2}}\left(q^2-(m_i-m_j)^2\right)\mathrm{Re}[H_V^0 H_T^{0t*}], \qquad (39)\\
J_{10} &= 32\frac{(m_i-m_j)}{\sqrt{q^2}}\left(q^2-(m_i+m_j)^2\right)\mathrm{Re}[H_0^t H_{TE}^{0t*}]. \qquad (40)
\end{aligned}$$

Here the coefficients $J_{11} = J_{12} = 0$. Next we define the forward-backward asymmetry (A_{FB}) for the leptons by integrating over $\cos\theta_l$ in Eqn. (6) as

$$A_{FB}(q^2) = \Big(\int_0^1 d\cos\theta_l \frac{d^2\Gamma}{dq^2 d\cos\theta_l} - \int_{-1}^0 d\cos\theta_l \frac{d^2\Gamma}{dq^2 d\cos\theta_l}\Big)\Big/\frac{d\Gamma}{dq^2}. \tag{41}$$

After integration, we obtain

$$A_{FB}(q^2) = \frac{X}{\sum_{i=1}^{10} J_i}, \tag{42}$$

where the quantity X is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} X \;=\; & 8k\mathrm{Re}\Big[\frac{\left(m_i^2 - m_j^2\right)}{\sqrt{q^2}}\left(H_V^0 H_V^{t*} + H_A^0 H_A^{t*}\right) + (m_i + m_j)\left(H_V^0 H_S^* + 4H_A^t H_{TE}^{0t*}\right) \\ & +\; (m_i - m_j)\left(H_A^0 H_P^* - 2H_V^t H_T^{0t*}\right) - 2\sqrt{q^2}\left(H_S^0 H_T^{0t*} - 2H_P H_{TE}^{0t*}\right)\Big]. \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

Another interesting observable is the lepton non-universality parameter, which has been recently observed by LHCb in $B^+ \to K^+ l^+ l^-$ process and has a 2.6σ discrepancy from the SM prediction in the dilepton invariant mass bin $(1 \leq q^2 \leq 6)$ GeV^2. Analogously we would like to see whether it is possible to observe non-universality in the LFV decays. Hence, we define the ratios of branching ratios of various LFV decays as

$$R_{Kl}^{\mu e} = \frac{\mathrm{Br}\left(\bar{B} \to \bar{K}\mu^- e^+\right)}{\mathrm{Br}\left(\bar{B} \to \bar{K} l^+ l^-\right)}, \tag{44}$$

$$R_{Kl}^{\tau e} = \frac{\mathrm{Br}\left(\bar{B} \to \bar{K}\tau^- e^+\right)}{\mathrm{Br}\left(\bar{B} \to \bar{K} l^+ l^-\right)}, \tag{45}$$

$$R_{Kl}^{\tau \mu} = \frac{\mathrm{Br}\left(\bar{B} \to \bar{K}\tau^- \mu^+\right)}{\mathrm{Br}\left(\bar{B} \to \bar{K} l^+ l^-\right)}, \tag{46}$$

$$R_{K}^{\mu\mu} = \frac{\mathrm{Br}\left(\bar{B} \to \bar{K}\mu^+ \mu^-\right)}{\mathrm{Br}\left(\bar{B} \to \bar{K} e^+ e^-\right)}, \tag{47}$$

$$R_{Kl}^{\tau\tau} = \frac{\mathrm{Br}\left(\bar{B} \to \bar{K}\tau^+ \tau^-\right)}{\mathrm{Br}\left(\bar{B} \to \bar{K} l^+ l^-\right)}, \tag{48}$$

where $l = \mu, e$. Similarly, one can obtain the branching ratios and other physical observables in $B \to \pi l_i^- l_j^+$ processes by incorporating the appropriate CKM matrix elements, form factors and the NP effective couplings. Recently LHCb has measured the ratio of branching fractions of $B^+ \to \pi^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$ over $B^+ \to K^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$ processes [25], given as

$$\frac{\mathrm{Br}(B^+ \to \pi^+ \mu^+ \mu^-)}{\mathrm{Br}(B^+ \to K^+ \mu^+ \mu^-)} = 0.053 \pm 0.014(\mathrm{stat}) \pm 0.001\ (\mathrm{syst}). \tag{49}$$

In the same context, we also define the ratio of branching fractions of $B^+ \to \pi^+ l_i^- l_j^+$ and $B^+ \to K^+ l_i^- l_j^+$ LFV processes as

$$R_{+}^{l_i l_j} = \frac{\mathrm{Br}\left(B^+ \to \pi^+ l_i^- l_j^+\right)}{\mathrm{Br}\left(B^+ \to K^+ l_i^- l_j^+\right)}. \tag{50}$$

IV. NEW PHYSICS CONTRIBUTIONS DUE TO THE EXCHANGE OF VECTOR LEPTOQUARK

There are 10 different LQ multiplets under the $SU(3)_C \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ SM gauge group [22], of these one half have scalar nature and the rest have vectorial nature under the Lorenz transformation. Vector LQs have spin 1 which exist in grand unified theories, $SO(10)$ including Pati-Salam color $SU(4)$ and larger gauge groups. The scalar and vector LQ multiplets are differ by their weak-hypercharge and fermion number. The strongest bounds on the vector LQs can be avoid by demanding chirality and diagonality of the coupling and diquark coupling have to be forbidden to evade proton decay. There are three relevant vector LQ multiplets, $(3, 3, 2/3)$, $(3, 1, 2/3)$ and $(\bar{3}, 2, 5/6)$ [23], out of which only $(3, 3, 2/3)$ leptoquark conserves both baryon and lepton numbers.

1. $Q = 2/3$ *vectors*

There are two vector LQ multiplets $V^3(3, 3, 2/3)$ and $V^1(3, 1, 2/3)$ having fermion number zero and electric charge $Q = 2/3$. The interaction Lagrangian of isotriplet state $V^{(3)}$ with the SM fermions is given by [23]

$$\mathcal{L}^{(3)} = g_L \, \overline{Q} \, \boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot V_\mu^{(3)} \gamma^\mu L + h.c., \tag{51}$$

which conserves both lepton and baryon number and contributes new Wilson coefficients, $C_{V,A}^{\rm LQ}$ as

$$C_V^{\rm LQ} = -C_A^{\rm LQ} = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2} G_F V_{tb} V_{ts}^* \alpha_{em}} \frac{(g_L)_{sl} (g_L)_{bl}^*}{M_{V^{(3)}}^2} \, . \tag{52}$$

Here $Q(L)$ is the left handed quark (lepton) doublet, g_L is the LQ coupling having left handed quark current and $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ represents the Pauli matrices.

The Lagrangian for isosinglet state, $V^{(1)}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{L}^{(1)} = \left(g_L \, \overline{Q} \gamma^\mu L + g_R \, \overline{d_R} \gamma^\mu l_R \right) \, V_\mu^{(1)} + h.c., \tag{53}$$

where d_R and l_R are the right handed down quark and lepton singlets respectively and g_R is the LQ coupling with down quarks and right handed leptons. This LQ violates baryon number and has the coupling to both left and right handed fermions *i.e.* it is a non-chiral

LQ. In addition to $C_{V,A}$ new Wilson coefficients, these non-chiral LQ contributes scalar and pseudoscalar operators given by

$$C_V^{NP} = -C_A^{NP} = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}G_F V_{tb}V_{ts}^*\alpha_{em}} \frac{(g_L)_{sl}(g_L)_{bl}^*}{M_{V^{(1)}}^2}\,, \tag{54a}$$

$$C_V'^{NP} = C_A'^{NP} = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}G_F V_{tb}V_{ts}^*\alpha_{em}} \frac{(g_R)_{sl}(g_R)_{bl}^*}{M_{V^{(1)}}^2} \tag{54b}$$

$$-C_P^{NP} = C_S^{NP} = \frac{\sqrt{2}\pi}{G_F V_{tb}V_{ts}^*\alpha_{em}} \frac{(g_L)_{sl}(g_R)_{bl}^*}{M_{V^{(1)}}^2}\,, \tag{54c}$$

$$C_P'^{NP} = C_S'^{NP} = \frac{\sqrt{2}\pi}{G_F V_{tb}V_{ts}^*\alpha_{em}} \frac{(g_R)_{sl}(g_L)_{bl}^*}{M_{V^{(1)}}^2}\,. \tag{54d}$$

2. $Q = 4/3$ vectors

The vector LQ with charge $Q = 4/3$ has one isospin doublet state $V^2(\bar{3}, 2, 5/6)$, whose coupling with fermion bilinear is given by [23]

$$\mathcal{L}^{(2)} = g_R\, \overline{Q^C}\, i\tau_2 V_\mu^{(2)} \gamma^\mu l_R + g_L\, \overline{d_R^C}\, \gamma^\mu\, \tilde{V}_\mu^{(2)\dagger} L + h.c. \tag{55}$$

This LQ also has both left handed and right handed lepton couplings and violates baryon number. Now performing the Fierz transformation, the additional Wilson coefficients contribution to the $b \to q l^- l^+$ processes as

$$C_V^{NP} = C_A^{NP} = \frac{-\pi}{\sqrt{2}G_F V_{tb}V_{ts}^*\alpha_{em}} \frac{(g_R)_{bl}(g_R)_{sl}^*}{M_{V^{(2)}}^2}, \tag{56a}$$

$$-C_V'^{NP} = C_A'^{NP} = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}G_F V_{tb}V_{ts}^*\alpha_{em}} \frac{(g_L)_{bl}(g_L)_{sl}^*}{M_{V^{(2)}}^2}, \tag{56b}$$

$$C_P^{NP} = C_S^{NP} = \frac{\sqrt{2}\pi}{G_F V_{tb}V_{ts}^*\alpha_{em}} \frac{(g_R)_{bl}(g_L)_{sl}^*}{M_{V^{(2)}}^2}, \tag{56c}$$

$$-C_P'^{NP} = C_S'^{NP} = \frac{\sqrt{2}\pi}{G_F V_{tb}V_{ts}^*\alpha_{em}} \frac{(g_L)_{bl}(g_R)_{sl}^*}{M_{V^{(2)}}^2}. \tag{56d}$$

V. CONSTRAINT ON THE LEPTOQUARK COUPLINGS FROM $B_{s,d} \to l^+ l^-$ PROCESSES

After having an idea about all possible new physics contributions to the SM, we now proceed to constrain the new Wilson coefficients by comparing the theoretical and experimental branching ratios of $B_{s,d} \to \mu^+\mu^-$ processes. These processes are mediated by the

FCNC $b \to (s,d)$ transitions and in the SM the branching ratios depend only on the Wilson coefficient C_A. In addition to $C^{(\prime)}_{V,A}$ Wilson coefficients, vector LQ also contributes scalar and pseudoscalar ($C^{(\prime)}_{S,P}$) Wilson coefficients to the SM. However, there is no additional contributions of tensor Wilson coefficients C_{T,T_5} due to the exchange of vector LQ.

The branching ratio of $B_q \to \mu^+\mu^-$ process in the LQ model is given by [26, 27]

$$\mathrm{Br}(B_q \to \mu^+\mu^-) = \frac{G_F^2}{16\pi^3}\tau_{B_q}\alpha_{em}^2 f_{B_q}^2 M_{B_q} m_\mu^2 |V_{tb}V_{tq}^*|^2 \left|C_A^{SM}\right|^2 \sqrt{1-\frac{4m_\mu^2}{M_{B_q}^2}} \times \left(|P|^2+|S|^2\right), \quad (57)$$

where

$$P \equiv \frac{C_A^{LQ}-C_A^{\prime LQ}}{C_A^{SM}} + \frac{M_{B_q}^2}{2m_\mu}\Big(\frac{m_b}{m_b+m_s}\Big)\Big(\frac{C_P^{LQ}-C_P^{\prime LQ}}{C_A^{SM}}\Big) \equiv |P|e^{i\phi_P},$$
$$S \equiv \sqrt{1-\frac{4m_\mu^2}{M_{B_q}^2}}\frac{M_{B_q}^2}{2m_\mu}\Big(\frac{m_b}{m_b+m_s}\Big)\Big(\frac{C_S^{LQ}-C_S^{\prime LQ}}{C_A^{SM}}\Big) \equiv |S|e^{i\phi_S}. \quad (58)$$

Here $C_A^{(\prime)\mathrm{LQ}}$ and $C_{S,P}^{(\prime)\mathrm{LQ}}$ Wilson coefficients are generated due to the vector LQ exchange and are negligible in the SM, which implies $P^{\mathrm{SM}}=1$ and $S^{\mathrm{SM}}=0$. The experimental result is related to the theoretical predictions as [27]

$$\mathrm{Br}^{\mathrm{th}}(B_q \to \mu^+\mu^-) = \left[\frac{1-y_q^2}{1+A_{\Delta\Gamma}y_q}\right]\mathrm{Br}^{\mathrm{exp}}(B_q \to \mu^+\mu^-), \quad (59)$$

where

$$R_q = \frac{\mathrm{Br}^{\mathrm{th}}(B_q \to \mu^+\mu^-)}{\mathrm{Br}^{\mathrm{SM}}(B_q \to \mu^+\mu^-)} = |P|^2+|S|^2, \quad (60)$$

$y_q = \tau_{B_q}\Delta\Gamma_q/2$ and the observables $A_{\Delta\Gamma}$ is the mass eigenstate rate asymmetry equals to $+1$ in the SM.

If we apply chirality on vector LQ, then the $C_{S,P}^{(\prime)\mathrm{LQ}}$ Wilson coefficients will vanish and there will be additional contribution of only $C_{V,A}^{(\prime)\mathrm{LQ}}$ Wilson coefficients to the SM. Hence, the R_q parameter is given as [11, 18]

$$R_q = \left|1+\frac{C_A^{\mathrm{LQ}}-C_A^{\prime\mathrm{LQ}}}{C_A^{\mathrm{SM}}}\right|^2 \equiv \left|1+re^{i\phi^{NP}}\right|^2, \quad (61)$$

where the parameters r and ϕ^{NP} are related to the new Wilson coefficients as

$$re^{i\phi^{NP}} = \frac{C_A^{\mathrm{LQ}}-C_A^{\prime\mathrm{LQ}}}{C_A^{SM}}\,. \quad (62)$$

Now comparing the theoretical [28] branching ratios of $B_q \to \mu^+\mu^-$ processes with the 1σ range of experimental values [29], the constraint on r and ϕ^{NP} is computed for scalar LQ model in our previous work [11, 18]. If we assume that both the scalar and vector LQs have same order mass, $M_{\rm LQ} = 1$ TeV, one can use the same constraint on r and ϕ^{NP} parameters to study the processes mediated via vector LQ. For $B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-$ process, the constraints are found to be [11]

$$0 \leq r \leq 0.35 \ , \quad \text{with} \quad \pi/2 \leq \phi^{NP} \leq 3\pi/2 \ , \tag{63}$$

and for $B_d \to \mu^+\mu^-$ process [11]

$$0.5 \leq r \leq 1.3 \ , \quad \text{for} \quad \left(0 \leq \phi^{NP} \leq \pi/2\right) \quad \text{or} \quad \left(3\pi/2 \leq \phi^{NP} \leq 2\pi\right) . \tag{64}$$

Using Eqns. (52, 54a, 54b), this can be translated to obtain the bounds on LQ couplings (for $M_{\rm LQ} = 1$ TeV) as

$$0 \leq |(g_L)_{s\mu}(g_L)^*_{b\mu}| \leq 2.3 \times 10^{-3} \ , \tag{65}$$

$$0.7 \times 10^{-3} \ \leq |(g_L)_{d\mu}(g_L)^*_{b\mu}| \leq 1.81 \times 10^{-3} \ . \tag{66}$$

Similarly using the theoretical predictions [28] and the experimental upper limits [30, 31] on $B_q \to e^+e^-(\tau^+\tau^-)$ processes, the constraint on the product of scalar LQ couplings are presented in Table I, which are found to be rather loose as the measured branching ratios of $B_{d,s} \to \tau^+\tau^-(e^+e^+)$ are not very precise.

TABLE I: Constraints on leptoquark couplings obtained from various leptonic $B_{s,d} \to l^+l^-$ decays.

Decay Process	Couplings involved	Upper bound of the couplings
$B_s \to e^\pm e^\mp$	$\lvert(g_L)_{se}(g_L)^*_{be}\rvert$	< 11.8
$B_s \to \tau^\pm \tau^\mp$	$\lvert(g_L)_{s\tau}(g_L)^*_{b\tau}\rvert$	< 0.77
$B_d \to e^\pm e^\mp$	$\lvert(g_L)_{de}(g_L)^*_{be}\rvert$	< 8.0
$B_d \to \tau^\pm \tau^\mp$	$\lvert(g_L)_{d\tau}(g_L)^*_{b\tau}\rvert$	< 0.593

For simplicity we can neglect the NP contributions to the $C^{(\prime)\rm LQ}_{V,A}$ Wilson coefficients, as the $C^{(\prime)\rm LQ}_{S,P}$ Wilson coefficients are enhanced by the factor M_{B_q}/m_l. Now using Eqns. (58),

(54c), (54d) and (60), the R_q parameter becomes

$$R_q = \frac{|C_S^{\rm LQ} - C_S^{\prime \rm LQ}|^2}{r_q^2} + \left|1 - \frac{|C_S^{\rm LQ} + C_S^{\prime \rm LQ}|}{r_q}\right|^2 \tag{67}$$

where

$$r_q = \frac{2m_l\,(m_b + m_q)\,C_A^{SM}}{M_{B_q}^2}. \tag{68}$$



FIG. 1: Constraint on the combination of scalar Wilson coefficient from $B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-$ process. The left panel is for real $C_S^{\rm LQ} \pm C_S^{\prime \rm LQ}$ Wilson coefficients and right panel is for complex Wilson coefficients.



FIG. 2: Constraint on $C_S^{\rm LQ} \pm C_S^{\prime \rm LQ}$ Wilson coefficients from $B_d \to \mu^+\mu^-$ process. The left panel is for real Wilson coefficients and right panel is for complex Wilson coefficients.

Now comparing the theoretical and experimental values of $B_q \to l^+l^-$ decays, we calculate the allowed region of $C_S^{LQ} \pm C_S^{\prime LQ}$ Wilson coefficients. If the Wilson coefficients are real, Eqn. (67) will be a circle of radius $|r_q|\sqrt{R_q^{\rm expt}}$ with center at $\left(C_S^{LQ} + C_S^{\prime LQ}, C_S^{LQ} - C_S^{\prime LQ}\right) = (r_q, 0)$. The left panel of Fig. 1 represents the constraint on real $C_S^{LQ} \pm C_S^{\prime LQ}$ Wilson coefficients from $B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-$ process and the right panel is for complex Wilson coefficients. Similarly in Fig. 2, we show the constraint on real (left panel) and complex (right panel) Wilson coefficients for $B_d \to \mu^+\mu^-$ process. The allowed range of real Wilson coefficients from $B_s \to e^+e^-$ (left panel) and $B_d \to e^+e^-$ (right panel) processes are shown in Fig. 3. In Fig. 4, we present the constraint obtained from $B_s \to \tau^+\tau^-$ (left panel) and $B_d \to \tau^+\tau^-$ (right panel) processes. The allowed region of $C_S^{LQ} \pm C_S^{\prime LQ}$ real Wilson coefficients obtained from $B_q \to l^+l^-$ processes are presented in Table II. Now using the constrained Wilson coefficients, one can calculate the bound on the product of various LQ couplings from Eqns. (54c, 54d).



FIG. 3: The allowed region of $C_S^{\rm LQ} - C_S^{\prime \rm LQ}$ and $C_S^{\rm LQ} + C_S^{\prime \rm LQ}$ Wilson coefficients from $B_s \to e^+e^-$ (left panel) and $B_d \to e^+e^-$ (right panel) processes.

VI. NUMERICAL ANALYSIS

After having detailed knowledge about the observables and the bound on new Wilson coefficients, we now proceed for numerical analysis of LFV decays in the LQ model. Though LFV decays are extremely rare in the SM due to two-loop suppression and the presence of tiny neutrino mass in one of the loop, still they can occur at tree level and are expected to have significantly large branching ratios in the LQ model. There will be no contributions



FIG. 4: The allowed region of $C_S^{\rm LQ} - C_S^{\prime \rm LQ}$ and $C_S^{\rm LQ} + C_S^{\prime \rm LQ}$ Wilson coefficients from $B_s \to \tau^+\tau^-$ (left panel) and $B_d \to \tau^+\tau^-$ (right panel) processes.

TABLE II: Constraint on combinations of $C_S^{(\prime)LQ}$ Wilson coefficients from various leptonic $B_{s,d} \to l^+l^-$ decays.

Decay Process	Bound on $C_S^{LQ} + C_S^{\prime LQ}$	Bound on $C_S^{LQ} - C_S^{\prime LQ}$
$B_s \to \mu^\pm\mu^\mp$	$0.0 \to 0.32$	$0.1 \to 0.18$
$B_s \to e^\pm e^\mp$	$-1.4 \to 1.4$	$-1.4 \to 1.4$
$B_s \to \tau^\pm\tau^\mp$	$-600 \to 600$	$-600 \to 600$
$B_d \to \mu^\pm\mu^\mp$	$-0.16 \to 0.44$	$0.2 \to 0.36$
$B_d \to e^\pm e^\mp$	$-4 \to 4$	$-4 \to 4$
$B_d \to \tau^\pm\tau^\mp$	$-1000 \to 1000$	$-1000 \to 1000$

from SM Wilson coefficients in the LFV decays of B meson.

In the presence of LQ, the modified helicity amplitudes are given as

$$H_V^{0\rm LQ} = \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{q^2}} \left(C_V^{\rm LQ} + C_V^{\prime \rm LQ} \right) f_+(q^2), \tag{69}$$

$$H_V^{t\rm LQ} = \frac{M_B^2 - M_K^2}{\sqrt{q^2}} \left(C_V^{\rm LQ} + C_V^{\prime \rm LQ} \right) f_0(q^2), \tag{70}$$

$$H_A^{0\rm LQ} = \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{q^2}} \left(C_A^{\rm LQ} + C_A^{\prime \rm LQ} \right) f_+(q^2), \tag{71}$$



FIG. 5: The variation of branching ratios of $B^+ \to K^+\mu^-e^+$ (top left panel), $B^+ \to K^+\tau^-e^+$ (top right panel) and $B^+ \to K^+\tau^-\mu^+$ (bottom panel) processes (in units of GeV^{-2}) in the $V^{1,3}$ vector leptoquark model. Here purple bands represent the contribution from V^1 leptoquark model and green solid lines are for V^3 leptoquark.

$$H_A^{t\text{LQ}} = \frac{M_B^2 - M_K^2}{\sqrt{q^2}} \left(C_A^{\text{LQ}} + C_A^{\prime\text{LQ}}\right) f_0(q^2), \tag{72}$$

$$H_S^{\text{LQ}} = \frac{M_B^2 - M_K^2}{m_b} \left(C_S^{\text{LQ}} + C_S^{\prime\text{LQ}}\right) f_0(q^2), \tag{73}$$

$$H_P^{\text{LQ}} = \frac{M_B^2 - M_K^2}{m_b} \left(C_P^{\text{LQ}} + C_P^{\prime\text{LQ}}\right) f_0(q^2). \tag{74}$$

For numerical analysis we have taken the particle masses and life times of B_q mesons from [13]. The form factors ($f_{0,+,T}$) for kaon and pion are taken from [32] and [33] respectively. In order to compute the required LQ couplings, we use the couplings given in Table I and II as basis values and assume that the LQ couplings between different generation of quark and lepton follow the simple scaling law, i.e., $(g_{L(R)})_{ij} = (m_i/m_j)^{1/2}(g_{L(R)})_{ii}$ with $j{>}i$. This ansatz has taken from the Ref. [34], which can explain the decay width of radiative LFV $\mu \to e\gamma$ decay. Now using the constrained LQ parameter space, we calculate



FIG. 6: The variation of forward-backward asymmetries of $B^+ \to K^+\mu^-e^+$ (top left panel), $B^+ \to K^+\tau^-e^+$ (top right panel) and $B^+ \to K^+\tau^-\mu^+$ (bottom panel) processes in the leptoquark model.

the branching ratios, forward-backward asymmetries and lepton non-universality in $B \to K(\pi)l_i^-l_j^+$ processes. In Fig.5, we show the variation of branching ratios of $B^+ \to K^+\mu^-e^+$ (top left panel), $B^+ \to K^+\tau^-e^+$ (top right panel) and $B^+ \to K^+\tau^-\mu^+$ (bottom panel) processes with respect to q^2 in both $V^{1,3}$ leptoquark model. Here the purple bands represent the predictions in the V^1 vector LQ model and green solid lines are for V^3 leptoquark. The predicted branching ratios of $B^+ \to K^+l_i^-l_j^+$ processes in both the LQ model are presented in Table III. The plot for forward-backward asymmetries of $B^+ \to K^+\mu^-e^+$ (top left panel), $B^+ \to K^+\tau^-e^+$ (top right panel) and $B^+ \to K^+\tau^-\mu^+$ (bottom panel) processes in the LQ model are given in Fig. 6. Fig. 7 shows the q^2 variation of $R_{Ke}^{\mu e}$ (top right panel), $R_{Ke}^{\tau e}$ (bottom left panel) and $R_{Ke}^{\tau\mu}$ (bottom right panel) parameters in the high q^2 region. The variation of $R_{K\mu}^{\mu e}$ (top right panel), $R_{K\mu}^{\tau e}$ (bottom left panel) and $R_{K\mu}^{\tau\mu}$ (bottom right panel) observables are presented in Fig. 8. The variation of $R_{Ke}^{\mu e}$ and $R_{K\mu}^{\mu e}$ parameters in low $q^2 \in [1, 6]$ region are also shown in the top left panel of Fig. 7 and Fig. 8 respectively. The integrated values of forward-backward asymmetries and lepton non-universality parameters



FIG. 7: The plots for lepton non-universality parameters, $R_{Ke}^{\mu e}$ (top right panel), $R_{Ke}^{\tau e}$ (bottom left panel) and $R_{Ke}^{\tau \mu}$ (bottom right panel) in high q^2 region. Here the top left panel shows the non-universality $R_{Ke}^{\mu e}$ in low $q^2 \in [1, 6]$ region.

such as $R_K^{l_i l_j}$ $R_{Ke}^{l_i l_j}$, $R_{K\mu}^{l_i l_j}$ are given in Table IV. In Fig. 9 we show the plot for lepton non-universality parameters $R_K^{\mu\mu}$ in low q^2 (left panel) and high q^2 (right panel) and the predicted values are presented in Table IV. Here the solid red lines denote the SM contributions and integrated values in the SM are given by

$$R_K^{\mu\mu}|_{q^2\in[1,6]} = 1.001, \qquad R_K^{\mu\mu}|_{q^2\geq 14.18} = 1.003, \qquad R_{Ke}^{\tau\tau} = 1.144, \qquad R_{K\mu}^{\tau\tau} = 1.14. \tag{75}$$

Analogously we show the variation of the branching ratios of LFV $B^+ \to \pi^+\mu^-e^+$ (top left panel), $B^+ \to \pi^+\tau^-e^+$ (top right panel) and $B^+ \to \pi^+\tau^-\mu^+$ (bottom panel) decay processes with respect to q^2 in Fig. 10 and the predicted branching ratios are given in Table III. Fig. 11 shows the variation of forward-backward asymmetries in $B^+ \to \pi^+\mu^-e^+$ (top left panel), $B^+ \to \pi^+\tau^-e^+$ (top right panel) and $B^+ \to \pi^+\tau^-\mu^+$ (bottom panel) processes. The lepton non-universality parameters $R_{\pi\mu}^{\mu e}$ (top right panel), $R_{\pi\mu}^{\tau e}$ (bottom left panel) and $R_{\pi\mu}^{\tau\mu}$ (bottom right panel) are presented in Fig. 12. Also, we present the behaviour of $R_{\pi\mu}^{\mu e}$ parameter (top left panel) in the region $1 \leq q^2 \leq 6$ GeV^2. In Table V, we present the



FIG. 8: The plots for lepton non-universality parameters, $R^{\mu e}_{K\mu}$ (top right panel), $R^{\tau e}_{K\mu}$ (bottom left panel) and $R^{\tau\mu}_{K\mu}$ (bottom right panel) in high q^2 region. Here the top left panel shows the non-universality $R^{\mu e}_{K\mu}$ in low $q^2 \in [1, 6]$ region.



FIG. 9: The variation of lepton non-universality parameter $R^{\mu\mu}_K$ for low $q^2 \in [1, 6]$ (left panel) and high q^2 (right panel) regimes.

predicted values of forward-backward asymmetries and lepton non-universality parameters. The non-universality predictions of $B \to \pi l^+ l^-$ processes in the SM are

$$R^{\mu\mu}_\pi|_{q^2\in[1,6]} = 1.001, \qquad R^{\mu\mu}_\pi|_{q^2\geq 14.18} = 1.003, \qquad R^{\tau\tau}_{\pi e} = 1.149, \qquad R^{\tau\tau}_{\pi\mu} = 1.146, \tag{76}$$

and the values for the parameter $R^{\mu\mu}_\pi$ in the LQ model are listed in Table V.



FIG. 10: The q^2 variation of branching ratios of $B^+ \to \pi^+\mu^-e^+$ (top left panel), $B^+ \to \pi^+\tau^-e^+$ (top right panel) and $B^+ \to \pi^+\tau^-\mu^+$ (bottom panel) processes in the $V^{1,3}$ vector leptoquark model.

The predicted values of $R_+^{l_i l_j}$ in $V^{1,3}$ LQ model respectively are

$$R_+^{\mu e}|_{V^1 LQ} = 0.525 - 53.34, \qquad R_+^{\mu e}|_{V^3 LQ} = 0.536, \tag{77}$$

$$R_+^{\tau \mu}|_{V^1 LQ} = 0.536 - 64.1, \qquad R_+^{\tau \mu}|_{V^3 LQ} = 0.578, \tag{78}$$

$$R_+^{\tau e}|_{V^1 LQ} = 0.443 - 0.56, \qquad R_+^{\tau e}|_{V^3 LQ} = 0.56. \tag{79}$$

VII. CONCLUSION

In this work, we have studied the rare lepton flavour violating semileptonic B meson decays in the vector leptoquark model. These decays occur at loop level with a tiny neutrino mass in one of the loop, thus extremely rare in the SM. Whereas these processes can occur at tree level in the vector leptoquark model. There are three vector leptoqaurks which are relevant to study the processes mediated via $b \to (s, d)$ transitions. Of these we consider $(3, 3, 2/3)$ and $(3, 1, 2/3)$ vector leptoquarks in our analysis and constrained the leptoquark

TABLE III: The predicted branching ratios of $B^+ \to K^+(\pi^+) l_i^- l_j^+$ processes in the $V^{1,3}$ vector leptoquark model.

Decay process	Values in V^1 LQ model	Values in V^3 LQ model	Expt. upper limit [13]
$B^+ \to K^+\mu^- e^+$	$(0.009 - 6.16) \times 10^{-10}$	$< 2.98 \times 10^{-10}$	$< 9.1 \times 10^{-8}$
$B^+ \to K^+\tau^- e^+$	$(0.118 - 1.882) \times 10^{-10}$	$< 7.12 \times 10^{-11}$	$< 4.3 \times 10^{-5}$
$B^+ \to K^+\tau^- \mu^+$	$(0.0064 - 5.58) \times 10^{-9}$	$< 2.52 \times 10^{-9}$	$< 4.5 \times 10^{-5}$
$B^+ \to \pi^+\mu^- e^+$	$(0.48 - 3.23) \times 10^{-10}$	$< 1.6 \times 10^{-10}$	$< 6.4 \times 10^{-3}$
$B^+ \to \pi^+\tau^- e^+$	$5.23 \times 10^{-12} - 1.51 \times 10^{-6}$	$< 7.55 \times 10^{-7}$	$< 7.4 \times 10^{-5}$
$B^+ \to \pi^+\tau^- \mu^+$	$(0.41 - 2.99) \times 10^{-9}$	$< 1.46 \times 10^{-9}$	$< 6.2 \times 10^{-5}$



FIG. 11: The variations of forward-backward asymmetries of $B^+ \to \pi^+\mu^- e^+$ (left panel), $B^+ \to \pi^+\tau^- e^+$ (middle panel) and $B^+ \to \pi^+\tau^- \mu^+$ (right panel) processes in leptoquark model.

couplings from $B_s \to l^+ l^-$ processes, where l can be any charged leptons. Using such constrained parameters, we estimated the branching ratios and forward-backward asymmetries of $B \to K l_i^- l_j^+$ and $B \to \pi l_i^- l_j^+$ processes in the vector leptoquark model. We also computed some parameters like $R^{l_i l_j}_{K(\pi)e}$, $R^{l_i l_j}_{K(\pi)\mu}$ and $R^{l_i l_j}_{+}$ (the ratios of various combination of rare

TABLE IV: The predicted values of forward backward asymmetries and lepton non-universality parameters in $B^+ \to K^+ l_i^- l_j^+$ process in the $V^{1,3}$ vector leptoquark model. Also the predicted values of $R_K^{\mu\mu}$, $R_{Ke}^{l_i l_j}$, $R_{K\mu}^{l_i l_j}$ parameters for muonic (electronic) processes in low $q^2 \in [1,6]$ region.

Observables	Values in V^1 LQ model	Values in V^3 LQ model
$\langle A_{FB}^{K\mu e} \rangle$	7.65×10^{-3}	2.82×10^{-3}
$\langle A_{FB}^{K\tau e} \rangle$	0.285	0.285
$\langle A_{FB}^{K\tau\mu} \rangle$	$(0.039 - 0.105) \times 10^{-3}$	4.8×10^{-3}
$\langle R_{Ke}^{\mu e} \rangle\|_{q^2\in[1,6]}$	$(0.0038 - 3.5) \times 10^{-4}$	2.03×10^{-4}
$\langle R_{Ke}^{\mu e} \rangle$	$(0.033 - 3.36) \times 10^{-4}$	2.04×10^{-4}
$\langle R_{Ke}^{\tau e} \rangle$	$0.526 \times 10^{-4} - 2.52$	1.63
$\langle R_{Ke}^{\tau\mu} \rangle$	$(0.285 - 5.45) \times 10^{-3}$	3.04×10^{-3}
$\langle R_{K\mu}^{\mu e} \rangle\|_{q^2\in[1,6]}$	$(0.0039 - 6.1) \times 10^{-4}$	3.2×10^{-4}
$\langle R_{K\mu}^{\mu e} \rangle$	$(0.0454 - 6.44) \times 10^{-4}$	3.3×10^{-4}
$\langle R_{K\mu}^{\tau e} \rangle$	$0.72 \times 10^{-4} - 4.83$	2.58
$\langle R_{K\mu}^{\tau\mu} \rangle$	$(0.039 - 0.1) \times 10^{-3}$	4.8×10^{-3}
$\langle R_K^{\mu\mu} \rangle\|_{q^2\in[1,6]}$	$0.57 - 0.9688$	0.63
$\langle R_K^{\mu\mu} \rangle$	$0.521 - 0.73$	0.64

decays) in order to inspect the presence of lepton non-universality. We found that our predicted values are sizeable and within the reach of currently running/upcoming experimental limits, the observation of which in the LHCb experiment would provide univocal signal of new physics.

Acknowledgments

RM and SS would like to thank Science and Engineering Research Board (SERB), Government of India for financial support through grant No. SB/S2/HEP-017/2013.

[1] S. Descotes-Genon, J. Matias, M. Ramon and J. Virto, JHEP **1301**, 048 (2013)

[2] R. Aaij et al., [LHCb Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 191801 (2013) [arXiv:1308.1707].



FIG. 12: The q^2 variations of $R^{\mu e}_{\pi\mu}$ (top right panel), $R^{\tau e}_{\pi\mu}$ (bottom left panel) and $R^{\tau\mu}_{\pi\mu}$ (bottom right panel) parameters in high q^2 region in the leptoquark model. Here $R^{\mu e}_{\pi\mu}$ (top left panel) shows the lepton non-universality for low $q^2 \in [1, 6]$ region.

[3] R. Aaij et al., [LHCb Collaboration], JHEP **1406**, 133 (2014) [arXiv:1403.8044].

[4] R. Aaij et al., [LHCb Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, 151601 (2014) [arXiv:1406.6482].

[5] C. Bobeth, G. Hiller and G. Piranishvili, JHEP **0712**, 040 (2007) [arXiv:0709.4174].

[6] R. Aaij et al., [LHCb Collaboration], JHEP **1307**, 084 (2013) [arXiv:1305.2168].

[7] S. L. Glashow, D. Guadagnoli, K. Lane, Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, 091801 (2015) [arXiv:1411.0565].

[8] V. Khachatryan et al. [CMS Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B **749**, 337 (2015) [arXiv:1502.07400].

[9] Chao-Jung Lee and J. Tandean, JHEP **08**, 123 (2015), [arXiv: 1505.04692]; W. Altmannshofer and I. Yavin, Phys Rev D. **92**, 075022 (2015) [arXiv:1508.07009]; A. Crivellin, G. D'Ambrosio, J. Heeck, Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, 151801 (2015) [arXiv:1501.00993]; L. Calibbi, A. Crivellin, T. Ota, Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, 181801 (2015) [arXiv:1506.02661]; R. Alonso, B. Grinstein and J. M. Camalich, [arXiv:1505.05164]; A. Crivellin, L. Hofer, J. Matias, U. Nierste, S. Pokorski, S. Rosiek, Phys. Rev. D **92**, 054013 (2015) [arXiv:1504.07928].

[10] S. Sahoo and R. Mohanta, Phys. Rev. D **93**, 114001 (2016) [arXiv:1512.04657].

[11] S. Sahoo and R. Mohanta, Phys. Rev. D **91**, 094019 (2015) [arXiv:1501.05193].

TABLE V: The predicted values of forward backward asymmetries and lepton non-universality parameters in $B \to \pi l_i^- l_j^+$ process in the $V^{1,3}$ vector leptoquark model. Also the predicted values of $R_\pi^{\mu\mu}$, $R_{\pi e}^{l_i l_j}$, $R_{\pi\mu}^{l_i l_j}$ parameters for muonic (electronic) processes in low $q^2 \in [1,6]$ region.

Observables	Values in V^1 LQ model	Values in V^3 LQ model
$\langle A_{FB}^{\pi\mu e}\rangle$	$(0.432 - 4.42) \times 10^{-3}$	2.4×10^{-3}
$\langle A_{FB}^{\pi\tau e}\rangle$	0.2632	0.263
$\langle A_{FB}^{\pi\tau\mu}\rangle$	$0.263 - 0.271$	0.26
$\langle R_\pi^{\mu\mu}\rangle\vert_{q^2\in[1,6]}$	$1.084 \times 10^{-7} - 1.95$	1.655×10^{-7}
$\langle R_\pi^{\mu\mu}\rangle$	$1.09 \times 10^{-7} - 0.6$	1.66×10^{-7}
$\langle R_{\pi e}^{\mu e}\rangle\vert_{q^2\in[1,6]}$	$2.48 \times 10^{-10} - 1.8 \times 10^{-3}$	2.46×10^{-10}
$\langle R_{\pi e}^{\mu e}\rangle$	$2.5 \times 10^{-10} - 5.5 \times 10^{-4}$	2.47×10^{-10}
$\langle R_{\pi e}^{\tau e}\rangle$	$(0.0187 - 1.53) \times 10^{-4}$	1.86×10^{-6}
$\langle R_{\pi e}^{\tau\mu}\rangle$	$3.75 \times 10^{-9} - 7.33 \times 10^{-3}$	3.6×10^{-9}
$\langle R_{\pi\mu}^{\mu e}\rangle\vert_{q^2\in[1,6]}$	$(1.8 - 2.29) \times 10^{-3}$	1.492×10^{-3}
$\langle R_{\pi\mu}^{\mu e}\rangle$	$(0.932 - 2.3) \times 10^{-3}$	1.49×10^{-3}
$\langle R_{\pi\mu}^{\tau e}\rangle$	$2.57 \times 10^{-4} - 17.14$	11.23
$\langle R_{\pi\mu}^{\tau\mu}\rangle$	$0.0123 - 0.073$	0.07

[12] D. Becirevic, N. Kosnik, O. Sumensari, and R. Zukanovich Funchal, [arXiv:1608.07583].

[13] K.A. Olive et al. (Particle Data Group), Chin. Phys. C **38**, 090001 (2014).

[14] H. Georgi and S. L. Glashow, Phys. Rev. Lett. **32**, 438 (1974); J. C. Pati and A. Salam, Phys. Rev. D **10**, 275 (1974).

[15] H. Georgi, AIP Conf. Proc. **23** 575 (1975); H. Fritzsch and P. Minkowski, Annals Phys. **93**, 193 (1975); P. Langacker, Phys. Rep. **72**, 185 (1981).

[16] D. B. Kaplan, Nucl. Phys. B **365**, 259 (1991).

[17] B. Schrempp and F. Shrempp, Phys. Lett. B **153**, 101 (1985); B. Gripaios, JHEP **1002**, 045 (2010) [arXiv:0910.1789].

[18] R. Mohanta, Phys. Rev. D **89**, 014020 (2014) [arXiv:1310.0713].

[19] S. Davidson, D. C. Bailey and B. A. Campbell, Z. Phys. C **61**, 613 (1994) [arXiv:hep-

ph/9309310]; I. Dorsner, S. Fajfer, J. F. Kamenik, N. Kosnik, Phys. Lett. B **682**, 67 (2009) [arXiv:0906.5585]; S. Fajfer, N. Kosnik, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 017502 (2009) [arXiv:0810.4858]; R. Benbrik, M. Chabab, G. Faisel, [arXiv:1009.3886]; A. V. Povarov, A. D. Smirnov, [arXiv:1010.5707]; J. P Saha, B. Misra and A. Kundu, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 095011 (2010) [arXiv:1003.1384]; I. Dorsner, J. Drobnak, S. Fajfer, J. F. Kamenik, N. Kosnik, JHEP **11**, 002 (2011) [arXiv:1107.5393]; F. S. Queiroz, K. Sinha, A. Strumia, Phys. Rev. D **91**, 035006 (2015) [arXiv:1409.6301]; B. Allanach,A. Alves, F. S. Queiroz, K. Sinha, A. Strumia, Phys. Rev. D **92**, 055023 (2015) [arXiv:1501.03494]; R. Alonso, B. Grinstein and J. M. Camalich, [arXiv:1505.05164]; Ivo de M. Varzielas, G. Hiller, JHEP, **1506**, 072 (2005), [arXiv:1503.01084]; M. Bauer and M. Neubert, Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 141802 (2016) [arXiv:1511.01900]; S. Fajfer and N. Kosnik, Phys. Lett. B, **755**, 270 (2016) [arXiv:1511.06024]; I. Dorsner, S. Fajfer, A. Greljo, J. F. Kamenik, and N. Kosnik, Phys. Rep., **641**, 1 (2016) [arXiv:1603.04993]; S.-w. Wang and Ya-dong Yang, [arXiv:1608.03662]; D. Aristizabal Sierra, M. Hirsch, S. G. Kovalenko, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 055011 (2008), [arXiv:0710.5699]; K.S. Babu, J. Julio, Nucl. Phys. B **841**, 130 (2010), [arXiv:1006.1092]; S. Davidson, S. Descotes-Genon, JHEP **1011**, 073 (2010), [arXiv:1009.1998]; S. Fajfer, J. F. Kamenik, I. Nisandzic, J. Zupan, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 161801, (2012) [arXiv:1206.1872]; K. Cheung, W.-Y. Keung, P.-Y. Tseng, Phys. Rev. D **93**, 015010 (2016) [arXiv:1508.01897]; D. A. Camargo, [arXiv:1509.04263]; S. Baek, K. Nishiwaki, Phys. Rev. D **93**, 015002 (2016) [arXiv:1509.07410]; J. M. Arnold, B. Fornal and M. B. Wise, Phys. Rev. D **88**, 035009 (2013), [arXiv:1304.6119]; D. A. Faroughy, A. Greljo and J. F. Kamenik, [arXiv:1609.07138]; D. Becirevic, S. Fajfer, N. Kosnik and O. Sumensari, [arXiv:1608.08501]; C.-Hung Chen, T. Nomura, and H. Okada, [arXiv:1607.04857]; G. Kumar, Phys. Rev. D **94**, 014022 (2016) [arXiv:1603.00346]; R. Barbieri, G. Isidori, A. Pattori and F. Senia, Euro. Phys. Jour. C **76**, 67 (2016) [arXiv:1512.01560].

[20] S. Sahoo and R. Mohanta, Phys. Rev. D. **93**, 034018 [arXiv:1507.02070]; New J. Phys. **18**, 013032 (2016) [arXiv:1509.06248]; [arXiv:1607.04449].

[21] M. Freytsis, Z. Ligeti and J. T. Ruderman, Phys. Rev. D **92**, 054018 (2015) [arXiv:1506.08896]; I. Dorsner, S. Fajfer, J. F. Kamenik and N. Kosnik, Phys. Lett. B **682**, 67 (2009) [arXiv:0906.5585]; Xin-Q. Li, Ya-D. Yang, X. Zhang, [arXiv:1605.09308]; B. Dumont, K. Nishiwaki, R. Watanabe, Phys. Rev. D **94**, 034001 (2016) [arXiv:1603.05248]; S.Sahoo, R. Mohanta, A. K. Giri, [arXiv:1609.04367]; G. Hiller, D. Loose, K. Schönwald, [arXiv:1609.08895];

B. Bhattacharya, A. Datta, J. Guevin, D. London, R. Watanabe, [arXiv:1609.09078]

[22] W. Buchmuller, R. Ruckl and D. Wyler, Phys. Lett. B **191**, 442 (1987); Erratum-ibid. B **448**, 320 (1997).

[23] N. Kosnik, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 055004 (2012), [arXiv:1206.2970].

[24] A. J. Buras and M. Munz, Phys. Rev. D **52**, 186 (1995); M. Misiak, Nucl. Phys. B **393**, 23 (1993); *ibid.* **439**, 461 (E) (1995).

[25] R. Aaij et al. [LHCb Collaboration] JHEP **12**, 135 (2012) [arXiv:1210.2645].

[26] A. J. Buras, R. Fleischer, J. Girrbach, R. Knegjens, JHEP **1307**, 77 (2013), [arXiv:1303.3820].

[27] K. De Bruyn, R. Fleischer, R. Knegjens, P. Koppenburg, M. Merk, A. Pellegrino and N. Tuning, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 041801 (2012).

[28] C. Bobeth, M. Gorbahn, T. Hermann, M. Misiak, E. Stamou, M. Steinhauser, Phys. Rev.Lett. **112**, 101801 (2014) [arXiv:1311.0903].

[29] LHCb, CMS Collaboration, V. Khachatryan et al., Nature **522**, 68 (2015) [arXiv:1411.4413].

[30] T. Aaltonen et al. (CDF Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 201801 (2009).

[31] Y. Grossman, Z. Ligeti, E. Nardi, Phys. Rev. D **55**, 2768 (1997) [arXiv:hep-ph/9607473].

[32] J. A. Bailey *et al.* (Fermilab Lattice and MILC Collaborations), (2015) [arXiv:1509.06235].

[33] A. Khodjamirian, T. Mannel, N. Offen, and Y.-M. Wang, Phys. Rev. D **83**, 094031 (2011)

[34] B. Gripaios, M. Nardecchia, S. A. Renner, JHEP **1505**, 006 (2015) [arXiv:1412.1791]; S. Davidson, G. Isidori, and S. Uhlig, Phys. Lett. B **663**, 73 (2008) [arXiv:0711.3376]; M. Redi, JHEP **1309**, 060 (2013) [arXiv:1306.1525].